

TITLE: Optimizing Metalworking Performance with Primary and tertiary Amines **Authors:** Gernon, Michael; Verdino, Guy; Ash, Robert **Institution:** Eastman Chemical, Kingsport, TN

Extended Abstract:

The reformulation of emulsion lubricants (e.g., metalworking fluids) can be time consuming. The use of formulation constraints in the reformulation process can make the process more efficient. There are always the constraints of economics, as are typically considered in real time by maintaining a spreadsheet with the calculated base cost of ingredients for a gallon of concentrate (see example for semi-synthetic fluid below):

| Component | Price per kg as purchased | Concentration as purchased (% wt./wt.) | Amount needed per gallon concentrate (kg as purchased) | Base cost (gallon of concentrate) |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| 100 SUS Naphthenic Oil | 0.9 | 100 | 1 | 0.90 |
| 25R4 nonionic surfactant | 2.65 | 100 | 0.25 | 0.66 |
| "synthetic" sulfonate emulsifier | 1.15 | 60 | 0.8 | 1.53 |
| C12 dicarboxylic acid | 3 | 100 | 0.4 | 1.20 |
| MDEA | 2.2 | 100 | 0.4 | 0.88 |
| Synergex LA | 5.2 | 100 | 0.1 | 0.52 |
| Total | | | | 5.70 |

The "cost" sheet will always place limits on the freedom of the formulator. Additional formulation limits can be added and accounted for in real time via the use of Excel. For instance, the sheet blow shows an original formulation (top) and revised formulation (bottom) wherein the ratio of base value to acid value is kept the same (bases with blue background, acids with yellow background):

| Component | Description | %BW | Base value | Weight equivalent | Base eq. total | | |
|------------------|-------------|---------|------------|-------------------|----------------|--|--|
| Water | Water | 52.20 | | | | | |
| TEA 99 LFG | Amine | 17.50 | 327 | 57.23 | | | |
| MEA | Amine | 9.00 | 918 | 82.62 | 147.95 | | |
| 45% KOH | Amine | 1.80 | 450 | 8.1 | | | |
| | | | Acid value | | Acid eq. total | | |
| Boric acid | Acid | 7.00 | 905 | 63.35 | | | |
| Corfree M1 | Acid | 8.50 | 509 | 43.27 | 118.82 | | |
| Isononanoic acid | Acid | 3.00 | 355 | 10.65 | | | |
| Dover EM 706 | Acidic | 1.00 | 155 | 1.55 | | | |
| | | | | | B/A ratio | | |
| Total | | 100.00% | | | 1.25 | | |
| Modified formula | | | | | | | |
| Component | Description | %BW | Base value | Weight equivalent | Base eq. total | | |
| Water | Water | 56.30 | | | | | |
| Amietol M12 | Amine | 8.00 | 471 | 37.68 | | | |
| Synergex LA | Amine | 6.00 | 324 | 19.44 | 148.92 | | |
| MEA | Amine | 10.00 | 918 | 91.8 | | | |
| | | | Acid value | | Acid eq. total | | |
| Boric acid | Acid | 7.00 | 905 | 63.35 | | | |
| Sebacic acid | Acid | 6.60 | 555 | 36.63 | 119.64 | | |
| Isononanoic Acid | Acid | 5.10 | 355 | 18.11 | | | |
| Dover EM 706 | Acidic | 1.00 | 155 | 1.55 | | | |
| | | | | | B/A ratio | | |
| Total | | 100.00% | | | 1.25 | | |

The acid/base sheet is easily prepared by input of the weight % of acidic or basic material (as purchased) used in the concentrate. The base or acid value of the component (as added) is multiplied by the weight % of the material in the concentrate to obtain a "weight equivalent" acid or base value. The sum of the "weight equivalent" acid and base values is tabulated and then the ratio of the "weight equivalent" base value to "weight equivalent" acid value is calculated. The same calculation runs in the portion of the sheet for the revised formulation wherein changes in acidic components can be immediately compensated for via an appropriate change in basic components (and vice versa).

The reason for changing acidic/basic components in a fluid might be:

- 1) Loss of supply of a raw material
- 2) Wish for use of a newly recommended ingredient
- 3) Need to improve stability of formula by switching to biostable materials

Maintaining a functional and economically competitive product requires keeping an open mind with respect to replacing ingredients and flexibility with respect to incorporating functional new ingredients into existing formulations. A recent development in biostable fluids has been the use of combinations of hydrophilic primary amines (e.g., MIPA) with hydrophobic tertiary and/or secondary amines. The selection of the right hydrophobic tertiary amine can be challenging in that there are so many possibilities to choose from. This talk will describe the use of formulation constraints in the reformulation of amine packages used in full synthetic metalworking fluids. Guidelines for the selection of optimal tertiary amines will be discussed, and new ideas concerning tertiary amine chemistry as it relates to amine partitioning between the oil and water phase will be introduced. The use of Excel spreadsheets as an integral part of reformulation efforts will be highlighted.

© 2017 Eastman. Eastman brands referenced herein – Amietol[™] and Synergex[™] – are trademarks of Eastman or one of its subsidiaries or are being used under license. The ® symbol denotes registered trademark status in the U.S.; marks may also be registered internationally. Non-Eastman brands referenced herein are trademarks of their respective owners.